

TOWN OF ALLENSTOWN
Select Board
16 School Street
Allenstown, New Hampshire 03275
November 21, 2016

Call to Order.

The Allenstown Select Board Meeting for November 21, 2016 was called to order by Mr. Tardiff at 6:00p.m.

Roll Call.

Present on the Board: Jason Tardiff and Dave Eaton.

Excused: Jeff Gryval

Others Present

Residents of Allenstown: Dennis Clouthier, Sandy McKenney, Ryan Carter, Bill Dobe, St. John Regeault, Benjamyn Carver, Michelle Haftman, Troy Day, Jack and Susan L ?, Jason Laliberte, Jane Laliberte-Frans, Rita Rapaglia, and Steve Marquis.

Others Present: James Bianco, Attorney for Holiday Acres; Jamie Myers, Attorney for Holiday Acres; Alexander Ortega, APD Candidate; Brinkley Matulaitis;

Other Public Officials: Larry Anderson, Sewer Commission; and Chad Pelissier, Budget Committee.

Allenstown Staff: Shaun Mulholland, Town Administrator; Karen Simmons, Finance Director; Dawn Shea, APD; Dawn Chaput, APD; Brian Warburton, APD; Cheryl Hey, APD; Dorothy Walch, APD; Dana Pendergast, AFD; Ron Pelissier, Road Agent, and Kathleen Pelissier, Town Clerk.

Citizens Comments

N/A

Consider applicant for appointment as a police officer.

Chief Paquette stated they have an applicant, Alex Ortega before the Board tonight. Mr. Mulholland stated Mrs. Pelissier has all the paperwork ready for him. Mr. Ortega stated he enlisted

in the Navy Reserves in 2013 and did about a year and a half on active duty orders. He stated he just graduated with his Bachelor's degree. He stated he has served two years with the NH Marine Patrol. He explained he wants to work in a smaller community as he feels it gives him an opportunity to interact with the residents better as opposed to a larger community.

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to appoint Alexander Ortega to the position of Allenstown Police Officer effective November 21, 2016. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Mrs. Pelissier swore in Officer Ortega.

Public Hearing-Cross St. in regards to damages pursuant to RSA 231:75.

Mr. Mulholland explained the Board of Selectmen has a petition from Mr. Carver, who lives on an address on Cross Street, he has filed it in regards to the RSA 231:75. He stated it is incumbent upon the Board to listen to the evidence he provides to them and determine whether the actions by Allenstown or the reconstruction of the roadway caused damage to his particular property. He stated notice has been sent to the other property owners as well; not because its required but they thought it be deemed appropriate so if there are any other issues they could be discussed. He stated they have a public hearing before them and recommended the petitioner speak first.

Mr. Carver, 25 Cross Street, stated in the summer of 2014 they graded down hot top over Cross Street. He stated they had come through and fixed it about seven times now because the water drainage from the road flowed into his neighbor's driveway. He stated his driveway didn't flood out so the fix was to put a little bit of a speed bump to make the water bypass the driveways. He stated the reason he is here today is because of the last fix his five year old son fell on it.

Mr. Carver presented photos showing the driveway being flush with the road and the height of the berm which is now there, the fix to keep his son from falling on the berm, the street view, and driveways, a wall which separates his driveway and his neighbor's driveway, and more.

Mr. St. John Ragealt, 22 Cross Street, stated he bought the foreclosed house in 2008 and it was renovated through the middle of 2009. He stated in 2014 they decided to put the sidewalk up to the house. He stated he warned them it would probably cause problems because the water would run right to the corner of the house and there's no way for it to run down the hill. He stated his last tenant, who moved out in July, was complaining they couldn't walk in the sunroom as it was too soft. He stated he has had to rip out the sunroom and rebuild it. He stated in 2014 he had asked

about the snow removal because the snow was so high it was level with the sunroom. He expressed concern with the sidewalk being raised 3 inches because all the water coming down Cross Street goes right to the house.

Mr. O'Donnell, 27 ½ Cross Street, stated when they first paved the road his car was damaged because they didn't finish paving the road. He stated there have been nine floods after the roadwork. He stated the first rain created a water slide because they didn't finish the road. He stated he has taken pictures and had the Road Agent over to fix it. He stated they shouldn't be responsible for the road if a company paved it. He stated the road is too high. He stated he asked two years ago for a survey and was laughed at and told the road was perfectly placed. He stated it costs a lot of money and he doesn't have it. He presented pictures to the Board of the road and the damage done.

Mr. O'Donnell asked what he is going to do about the snow out front of his driveway. He stated they put a curb five feet out. The Road Agent stated the curb lines up with the edge of the road. He stated they put it there so all the water would not go into 25 Cross Street. Mr. O'Donnell asked if he can put the snow on the dirt patch. The Road Agent stated it is in the town right-of-way. He stated Mr. O'Donnell's issue is his property is landlocked so he has nowhere to put the snow unless it is on a neighbor's property.

The Chair stated he doesn't have an answer for him and the public hearing is on the damage from the roadway work. Mr. O'Donnell stated his car was totaled because they didn't finish the road.

Mr. Clouthier, 27 Cross Street, presented photographs for the Board which shows the road was raised. He explained the photos show the end of his driveway, the road, and the curb. The Chair asked what kind of damages he has sustained. Mr. Clouthier stated he has not had any damages but he is providing some information relative to what has been discussed. He stated everything was raised and it took a lot of changes to get it there. He stated it does puddle into the end of his driveway but he was not here to complain about it.

Mr. Day, 32 Cross Street, stated he lives down the hill from the others. He stated he gets substantial water in his driveway and in his basement. He stated he has two drains in front of his house but still gets water because the road is raised. He expressed concern for getting mold in his basement from the water. He presented the Board with pictures of the damage. He stated the Road Agent tried to correct it but it didn't help enough.

Mr. Clouthier stated the Road Agent brought in an engineer and they came back to do work suggested by the engineer. He stated he thinks the problem started from the road being raised.

Mr. Mulholland informed the Board they have 30 days to provide an answer to be filed with the town clerk. He stated they have to make a determination as to the amount of damages or any which might be due to the aggrieved property owner. The Chair asked if they should get together with town counsel. Mr. Mulholland recommended they get together with her.

The Chair stated there would not be a decision tonight; they will take all the information under advisement and speak with town counsel.

Mr. Day asked if those of them who spoke about the issue receive a copy of the response. Mr. Mulholland stated it is only required to be sent to the property owner who filed a petition with the town clerk but they can send him an email and he will send it to them.

Review request to reconsider the Board's decision in regards to the petition to declare Riverside Dr. an Emergency Way.

Mr. Mulholland stated they have several emails from Mr. Carter in regards to a motion to reconsider. He stated it is not a legal motion but it is close enough they should consider it. He stated Mr. Carter cites several different issues of concern. He stated the Board needs to make a determination whether or not there is sufficient new evidence subsequent to the public hearing from November 7, 2016. He stated if they choose to reconsider the decision they need to schedule a public hearing. He stated the other standard is whether there was a mistake in fact or law and how the standard and criteria used to make the decision. He stated if they find based upon the evidence in the emails there is now evidence or a mistaken fact of law they should have a public hearing and if not then they would not reconsider it.

The Board reviewed the emails from Mr. Carter. The Chair stated in his reading of it, he didn't see anything new. Mr. Eaton stated there was a lot of the same information provided as before.

Mr. Mulholland stated at this point they would decide if there is new information provided or if Mr. Carter cites a particular mistaken fact of law and provided new information then they would reconsider the decision.

The Chair asked Ms. Somers (participating via. conference call) about the fact of law. Ms. Somers stated Mr. Mulholland has indicated the correct standard. She stated the Board needs to make a determination as to whether or not the material presented suggests there is new evidence or a mistake as has been made and if they don't then no reconsideration is made.

Mr. Carter, 76 Riverside Drive, asked if he could speak on the matter. The Chair stated only if there is new evidence or show they did something wrong. Mr. Carter stated the last email he sent

out from the NH Municipal Association states during the FEMA buyout the Fire Department used and uses the water from the river to extinguish those fires and any future fires on the road.

Mr. Carter stated under the emergency way declaration it states “in other words the public must benefit keeping the road passable by emergency vehicles, not just the people who live on the private or class six roads. For example, if there was a pond or lake used by the Fire Department to fill its water tanker or for firefighting purposes, the Selectmen might find it in the public interest to keep it passable for fire equipment so the Fire Department would have access to the water source.” He stated it also says “I would like to point out the public and private nature of the road has not been legally ruled upon in court. In 1985 court case the court never ruled on the public versus private nature of the road. Failure to provide road maintenance for a town owned road may create significant liability to the town. Until the legal status of the road is determined, I believe the town must continue to provide maintenance and snow plowing services to this road.”

Mr. Carter stated he was waiting for records of a 2009 meeting where it was addressed previously and the Board sent out a notice to all the residents on the town road. He read the notice which states “On December 21, 2009 the Allenstown Board of Selectmen held a public hearing regarding the status of Riverside Drive and town services to residents and properties on Riverside Drive. As a result of that hearing, the Board of Selectmen reaffirmed the previous decision of the Board, supported by a decision of the Merrimack County Superior Court, that Riverside Drive is an emergency lane and will remain an emergency lane.”

Mr. Carter stated one of the Budget Committee members at the time , Donald Chaput, references the impact of the tax rate is. He stated Mr. Chaput thought it was important for the town to provide the service to the residents. He stated in the past there were disabled children living on the road; in this case there are currently disabled children on the road. He stated they had gotten letters from the Department of Education saying they had to make the road passable so the children could get to school. He stated one of the residents is arranging for a private school bus to pick up her children because of this.

Mr. Carter stated he cited this because the town counsel at the time sent an email to Mr. Mulholland regarding the status of the emergency way and the Board needed to make a decision of the emergency way. He stated two different selectmen had written letters regarding the status of the road. He stated in 2009, the letter stated the road was an emergency way and the most recent letter from town council stated it was not. He stated with the evidence here he is asking them to reconsider the status as an emergency way.

Mr. Mulholland stated the purpose of today is determined if they are going to reconsider the decision. He stated if they choose to reconsider it then they need to have a public hearing. The Board determined there was nothing new for reconsideration.

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to deny the reconsideration petition to declare Riverside Drive as an emergency way. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion. A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Mr. Mulholland stated they have draft written findings they need to consider.

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to accept the findings regarding whether Riverside Drive should be an emergency way and deny the petition. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Mr. Mulholland stated they have a request for a winter road petition which was filed by Mr. Carter. He stated they need to decide if they want to grant a public hearing for the petition at a future date. He stated the statute has very specific requirements. He stated they have to get permission from the actual landowner of the roadway and they don't have it. He suggested the petitioner get a letter from the actual landowner of the roadway giving permission and the landowner would have to agree to pay for the repairs to the roadway.

The Chair asked Mr. Faye to remove himself from the meeting.

Mr. Mulholland stated the next step of the process is there is going to be a cost involved. He stated they need to determine who is going to pay for the costs. He suggested they get escrow in advanced to pay for the costs. The Chair asked if the petition needs to come from the landowner or is it fine from the person who did it. Mrs. Somers stated it can come from someone other than the landowner but the landowner should indicate they are agreeable to the idea of paying for the costs of the plowing. Mr. Mulholland stated they need to know the issues of the property, who owns the property, and who is going to pay for it before they entertain a public hearing.

The Chair asked if the Board would like to hear from the landowner. Mr. Dobe stated he is not the landowner but he could speak for a nonexistent landowner. He stated they tried to prove he was the landowner in the trial in 1985 but there is nothing in the deed which states specifically he is the landowner.

Mr. Dobe stated his wife and he recently received their tax bill from the town which they have been paying for several years but this year they received another bill. He stated the bill had him and his wife as owner of record for Lot 34 which as he knows it has never existed. He read “the

site plan for Riverside Park, dated December 1959, has no indication of Riverside Drive at all. This site plan must have been submitted by Romeo Plourde to the town and approved. Approval of the original site plan would imply acceptance at the time despite any lack of a specific indication regarding the width of the Road.” He stated the site plan was submitted and approved and the approval would imply some kind of acceptance of the width of the road. He stated there is nothing on the map saying what the width of the road is.

Mr. Dobe stated making the road wider would be the other solution but it isn’t possible. The Chair asked Mr. Dobe if he is the owner of the road. Mr. Dobe stated he is not the owner. The Chair stated this is not a public hearing so he doesn’t want to get into all this.

Mr. Eaton stated one of the things which have to happen is someone has to determine who the owner is and then the owner has to agree to this before they can go any further.

Mr. Carter asked if they could read the actual law they are referencing. The Chair stated they have already read it and this is not a public hearing. He stated the residents need to find out who the owner is and have the owner present something to the Board they are willing to proceed forward with this. He stated the owner needs to agree to sign off on this, pay for this, and put the money in escrow so they can go forward on it. He stated after the owner does this they can schedule a public hearing and get the residents input.

Mr. Carter stated he has documentation showing Romeo Plourde dedicated the road to the town and a town vote saying the town accepted the road as a town owned road. Mr. Mulholland stated the courts ruled otherwise which is why town counsel back then and now tell them the same thing. He stated until they meet the threshold requirements they would be having a public hearing for nothing.

Mr. Mulholland clarified they want permission from the owner and then the legal cost of escrow up front so the taxpayers are not footing the bill for this. Mr. Carter stated it is not accurate information regarding the winter road petition. Mr. Mulholland stated they cannot build a road on someone else’s land without permission. Mr. Carter stated it is why the statute was created so the town counsel, under petition, lay out the road and the residents would pay a fee for the service. He stated as Selectmen they are the only people allowed to lay out the road as a winter road and the people are required to pay the owner of the road.

Discuss the sale of town owned land as proposed by Allentown Aggregate, Northeast Granite and Hynes Group.

Mr. Mulholland stated they have a representative from the Hynes Group and Northeast Granite present. He stated they have three requests in regards to the sale of the particular properties.

The Chair asked Hynes Group to give a brief summary of what they are trying to do. Mr. Bianco gave the Board a picture of what they would like to purchase. He stated the concern was whether or not it would remain a 55 and older community and he give them a couple of letters assuring them it would. He stated the Planning Board can also put restrictions on landowners to keep it a 55 and older community. Mr. Mulholland stated they can require covenants when they sell the property.

Mr. Mulholland stated there are a couple of issues here. He stated there are three ways to sell land; sealed bid, public auction, or as justice requires. He explained as justice requires is a narrow option and can only be used under certain circumstances. He stated they have to show the public benefit of having a project of this nature. He stated Mrs. Somers sent a letter saying it does meet the requirement. The Chair asked if it would add to economic growth. Mr. Mulholland stated economist Russell Thibault provided an analysis indicating that. The Town had another economist conduct a review of the analysis confirming the conclusion.

Mr. Eaton asked what lots they were looking at purchasing. Mr. Mulholland stated it would be Map 410 Lots 29 and 31, Map 411 Lots 04, and 05.

Mr. Bianco quoted a court case which says “the Board, under statute the Planning Board has the authority under site plan review to impose requirements and conditions that are reasonably related to the land use goals in consideration within its purview.”

Mr. Bianco stated in addition to his client’s promise, it would be something in writing for whomever owned the land. He stated they determined between the property taxes of the land it would be about \$900,000. He stated the school costs should not be a burden because they figure it will generate around fifteen kids. He stated there will be sewer and water fees going to the town.

Mr. Bianco stated in the Master Plan they talk about providing housing for elderly people so this goes to it because it is 55 and older. He stated they need the land to make it happen.

The Chair asked what the legal difference of the Planning Board doing the covenant. Mr. Mulholland stated they discussed it briefly but he hasn’t looked at the case. Mr. Bianco suggested the Board could approve it subject to review with town counsel. Mr. Mulholland stated if they agree to do this they would then have to negotiate an agreement of some sort. He stated they need to make a decision tonight if they whether or not they want to sell to them under the as justice requires provision.

The Chair stated if they look around town and what they are going to get for economic growth in town they are not going to get a Walmart. Mr. Eaton stated he likes the idea, thinks it is a better

community, and not a burden on the schools. The Road Agent stated they had a Demoulas consider Allenstown a few years back and they determined there weren't enough residents in town. He stated the sale to Hynes Group may help promote the sale of other properties. Mr. Mulholland stated Hannaford's and Lowes were looking also but there weren't enough residents in the immediate area to create an adequate marketplace.

Mr. Mulholland stated sections of Chester Tpk. are class six right now and would have to be brought up to class five standards which would open up the other properties around them.

The Board gave the Hynes Group preliminary approval to negotiate further.

Mr. Mulholland stated they have the property located at Map 410 Lot 25 which Northeast Granite is interested in and Allenstown Aggregate which wants the other pieces in that area. The Chair asked if there is a possibility for land swapping with Allenstown Aggregate which would fall under the as justice requires provision. Mr. Mulholland stated it would be for the highway garage and they would have to work out the specifics of the negotiations.

Mr. Eaton asked if Lot 19 is where the town shed is and 18 is Allenstown Aggregate. Mr. Mulholland stated he was correct. The Chair stated one of the pieces they want is the same piece of property Northeast wants. He asked if they wanted to go the route for Northeast would it have to go out to bid. Mr. Mulholland stated if they wanted to do it then it would not meet the standards for as justice requires. They would have to open for sealed bid or public auction.

The Chair asked how many pieces Allenstown Aggregate wants. Mr. Mulholland stated they wanted Lot 23, 25, 36, and 35. He stated they are not going to give the town Lot 18 unless they sell all the other pieces to Aggregate. The Chair stated from the town's perspective it is more beneficial to negotiate with them to get their piece for the highway garage.

Mr. Eaton asked if it is the best place to expand Lot 19 for the town garage. The Road Agent stated it does directly abutt their property. He stated they were looking at extending out three acres. The Chair stated it is the best option financial wise. He stated he doesn't think they can make everyone happy and thinks they need to work with Allenstown Aggregate.

Mr. Sherburne , Northeast Granite, stated they were the ones who petitioned to start the ball rolling for selling some of the property. He stated they are looking for Lot 25. He stated the opportunity here is they are going to start an ongoing business with employees, facilities, structures, and taxable assets which will be a benefit to the town. He stated the five acre piece is critical to their business plan. He stated they plan on manufacturing granite slate products and making products similar to Swanson Granite. He stated they plan on making it the only NH granite quarry. He stated he knows the issues the town has had with Allenstown Aggregate in the past and will be a good neighbor.

Mr. Mulholland stated there is obvious business competition between Allenstown Aggregate and Northeast Granite. The Chair stated looking purely from the Highway standpoint they need to put it there which means they need to work with Allenstown Aggregate. Mr. Eaton stated it would be nice if they could do both. The Board determined to proceed with preliminary discussions with Allenstown Aggregate.

Meet with the fire chief to discuss transition of fire alarm system from public to private service.

Chief Pendergast stated he sent Mr. Mulholland some paperwork today.

Chief Pendergast stated they have 36 master boxes and 13 street boxes. He stated he submitted the information of how they want to proceed to remove the system from town. He explained a letter will go out January 1, 2017 notifying the property owners they have to remove the systems by July 2018. He stated it will require each owner to contact an alarm company and evaluate each alarm system. He stated some of the numbers he has been seeing is it will cost \$600 to remove the system and then \$300 a year to monitor for each building.

Chief Pendergast stated they will be required to put a digital dialer in the alarm system and have two telephone lines hooked to the digital dialer which will go out to a third party alarm system. The Chair asked if cellular is acceptable. Chief Pendergast stated he doesn't think it is but he will have to look. He stated there has to be two lines; one capturing and one dedicated.

The Chair asked if they could take the stuff on the poles down when they want to. Chief Pendergast stated they can take the street boxes down right away. The Chair asked if they have any new buildings they need to notify this is going on. Chief Pendergast stated there is nothing new. He stated he thinks it is a reasonable timeline. The Chair stated he thinks giving them more than a year's notice is more than enough. Chief Pendergast stated the horn comes down too.

Mr. Mulholland asked what the date was they would be shutting the system off. Chief Pendergast stated January 1, 2017 the letter will go out, July 2017 they will start removing street boxes, January 2018 all occupancies will be required to be on third party monitoring, and July 2018 they will have everything removed. Mr. Mulholland suggested they move it out to July because they have businesses and the town which plan on a budget year. Chief Pendergast stated if they move it to July 2018 to have everyone off they would need until October 2018 to have everything off.

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to accept the timeline for the removal of street and master boxes with the July 1, 2018 as the final date all alarm users must be off of the system. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Town Administrator's annual performance evaluation.

Mr. Mulholland stated because they are now on the anniversary of employees, his would be due January 13, 2017. He stated they only have three meetings left so they need to figure out how they want to do it. The Chair stated he doesn't like how they are doing it now. He stated they need to bring in Mr. Gryval on this and asked for it to be on the next agenda.

TOWN ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT.

Downtown Re-Development Project

Mr. Mulholland stated he spoke to the Town Manager for Hooksett and has the contact information now. He stated the Road Agent's son did a walk through the trail from Hooksett to the Sewer treatment plant in Allenstown. The Chair asked if it ties into Hedge Pond. The Road Agent stated it is in a roundabout way. Mr. Mulholland stated he is going to speak with Mr. McNamara tomorrow night about his property. He stated he spoke with the folks at the mill and nothing has changed. He stated there was a piece of property where they didn't know who owns it and they determined it was Eversource.

Mr. Mulholland stated they are waiting for Pembroke to finish their budgeting process and will schedule a meeting in January. He stated he met with Kate Foley from CATCH regarding the housing plans.

Approve Raffle Permits and Abatements

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to approve the application for raffle permit from Pembroke Junior Spartans. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to approve the application for raffle permit from Allenstown Police Association. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to approve the abatement of taxes for the amount of \$335.75 to Arthur Gelinas. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

CONSENT CALENDAR, MINUTES AND MANIFESTS

Ratify Consent Calendar: November 21st, 2016

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to ratify the Consent Calendar for November 21, 2016. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Review Minutes: October 24th

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to approve the minutes of October 24, 2016. Mr. Eaton seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Approve Payroll and Accounts Payable Manifests: o ACH Manifests 110916, CHK 110916 o Payroll 110916

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to approve the Payroll and Accounts Manifests as stated on the agenda for the November 21, 2016 meeting. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

Other Business

Mr. Eaton asked about a purchase order on Right Signature which was taken off. Mr. Mulholland stated it was missing the quote which they need to make a decision.

The Chair asked if they will put the road issue on the agenda. Mr. Mulholland suggested they do it with Mr. Gryval and could do it before a regular meeting.

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Tardiff seconded the Motion. There was no additional discussion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Tardiff – Yes and Mr. Eaton – Yes. The Chair declared the Motion passed.

The Chair declared the meeting adjourned at 7:45pm.

TOWN OF ALLENSTOWN
SELECTBOARD
PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES

November 21, 2016

Signature Page

Original Approval:	
 JASON TARDIFF, Chair	12/14/2016 DATE
 DAVID EATON, Member	12/06/2016 DATE
 JEFF GRYVAL, Member	12/20/2016 DATE

Amendment Approvals:		
Amendment Description:	Approval:	Date:
	JASON TARDIFF, Chair	DATE
	DAVID EATON, Member	DATE
	JEFF GRYVAL, Member	DATE

Signature Certificate



Document Reference: YBW5VCIUILH58DPHCSXHVE

RightSignature

Easy Online Document Signing



David Eaton

Party ID: KXH6RJIMBJHLMY2NHRR4DN

IP Address: 24.91.232.121

VERIFIED EMAIL: deaton@allentownnh.gov

Electronic Signature:

Multi-Factor
Digital Fingerprint Checksum

c83be7b4fe0c46df2532809382ce3e7b4d8c7276



Jeffrey Gryval

Party ID: LA4WUNJAK35IMVRVLY9IID

IP Address: 64.222.96.214

VERIFIED EMAIL: jgryval@allentownnh.gov

Electronic Signature:

Multi-Factor
Digital Fingerprint Checksum

3a36159a0854f5fc7f56512b5b243f111048f158



Jason Tardiff

Party ID: E3B9PPJ7X5IJRNXTKCFHD

IP Address: 173.9.43.198

VERIFIED EMAIL: jtardiff@allentownnh.gov

Electronic Signature:

Multi-Factor
Digital Fingerprint Checksum

cb9ab55fbb77f3f260b2a281ee32e794ddf24d6e



Timestamp

2016-12-20 12:24:38 -0800

2016-12-20 12:24:37 -0800

2016-12-14 05:44:09 -0800

2016-12-14 05:43:01 -0800

2016-12-06 18:26:19 -0800

2016-12-06 18:07:57 -0800

2016-12-05 13:17:35 -0800

2016-12-05 06:48:34 -0800

Audit

All parties have signed document. Signed copies sent to: David Eaton, Jeffrey Gryval, Jason Tardiff, and Shaun Mulholland.

Document signed by Jeffrey Gryval (jgryval@allentownnh.gov) with drawn signature. - 64.222.96.214

Document signed by Jason Tardiff (jtardiff@allentownnh.gov) with drawn signature. - 173.9.43.198

Document viewed by Jason Tardiff (jtardiff@allentownnh.gov). - 173.9.43.198

Document signed by David Eaton (deaton@allentownnh.gov) with drawn signature. - 24.91.232.121

Document viewed by David Eaton (deaton@allentownnh.gov). - 24.91.232.121

Document viewed by Jeffrey Gryval (jgryval@allentownnh.gov). - 64.222.96.214

Document created by Shaun Mulholland (smulholland@allentownnh.gov). -

64.222.96.214



This signature page provides a record of the online activity executing this contract.